

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/851,720 | 05/09/2001 | James Thomas Shiveley | 0188.0009 | 3645 | |
| 7: | 590 10/05/2004 | | EXAM | INER | |
| Thomas P. Lewandowski | | | O MALLEY, KATHRYN S | | |
| One Cascade Pl Akron, OH 44 | | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| , | | | 3749 | 3749 | |
| | | | 3749 | | |

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | // // | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| - | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | 09/851,720 | SHIVELEY, JAMES THOMAS | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Kathryn S. O'Malley | 3749 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | ppears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offi (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time o | Mailing or Transmission dated f month(s)) which expired on _ |), which is after the expiration of the | | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejecti application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 | ed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | mendment which places the or (3) a timely filed Request for | | | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, w), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balar | ice of \$ is due. | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37). | quired by, and within the three-month | period set in, the Notice of | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. | he attorney or agent of record, the ass | signee of the entire interest, or all of | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | an attorney or agent (acting in a repre | sentative capacity under 37 CFR | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interf of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cla | | se the period for seeking court review | | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| | Superviso | S. Lazarvis ory Patent Examiner Group 3700 | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. | | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | e of Abandonment | Part of Paper No. 041001 | | | |